

# The Hidden Art of Thread-Safe Programming: Exploring java.util.concurrent

Dr Heinz M. Kabutz

**Last Updated 2025-05-14** 

© 2025 Heinz Kabutz – All Rights Reserved

#### Time for Q & A

- Questions:
  - 1. How do you get good at concurrency?
  - 2. What are the milestones we need to keep in mind when designing an application?
  - 3. Is Structured Concurrency the silver bullet?



### A Tale of java.util. Vector

- One of the first classes in Java
  - Part of Java 1.0
- Was designed to be thread-safe from concurrent updates
  - Most methods synchronized, locking on "this"
    - But missed synchronization on read-only methods like size()



#### Java 1.0 Vector

size() could return stale values

```
public class Vector1_0 {
    protected int elementCount;
    public final int size() {
        return elementCount;
    public final synchronized void addElement(Object obj) {
```



### Moving to Java 1.1

Introduced a potential race condition

```
public class Vector1_1 implements java.io.Serializable {
    protected int elementCount;
    public final int size() {
        return elementCount;
    public final synchronized void addElement(Object obj) {
```



### Moving to Java 1.4

Fixed size() visibility and serialization race condition

```
public class Vector1_4 implements java.io.Serializable {
    protected int elementCount;
    public synchronized int size() {
        return elementCount;
    public synchronized void addElement(Object obj) {
       // ...
    private synchronized void writeObject(ObjectOutputStream s)
            throws IOException {
        s.defaultWriteObject();
```



### However, Java 1.4 Can Deadlock!

Often, fixing one type of bug, introduces others

```
Vector v1 = new Vector();
Vector v2 = new Vector();
v1.addElement(v2);
v2.addElement(v1);
// serialize v1 and v2 from two different threads
```

- Mentioned in The Java Specialists' Newsletter #184
  - https://www.javaspecialists.eu/archive/lssue184.html



### Moving to Java 1.7

Fixed deadlock by calling writeFields() outside of lock

```
public class Vector1_7 implements Serializable {
    private void writeObject(java.io.ObjectOutputStream s)
            throws java.io.IOException {
        final java.io.ObjectOutputStream.PutField fields = s.putFields();
        final Object[] data;
        synchronized (this) {
            fields.put("capacityIncrement", capacityIncrement);
            fields.put("elementCount", elementCount);
            data = elementData.clone();
        fields.put("elementData", data);
        s.writeFields();
                                                             Java Specialists.eu
```

#### New Potential Deadlock Added in Java 8

Should not call "alien methods" like accept() whilst locked

```
public class Vector8<E> implements Serializable {
    public synchronized void forEach(Consumer<? super E> action) {
        Objects.requireNonNull(action);
        final int expectedModCount = modCount;
        final E[] elementData = (E[]) this.elementData;
        final int elementCount = this.elementCount;
        for (int i=0; modCount == expectedModCount && i < elementCount; i++) {</pre>
            action.accept(elementData[i]);
        if (modCount != expectedModCount) {
            throw new ConcurrentModificationException();
                                                                Java Specialists.eu
```

### Takeaways from Vector Bugs

- Thread safety is subtle
- Tests don't always expose concurrency bugs
  - We need to know what to look for



The Hidden Art of Thread-Safe Programming: Exploring java.util.concurrent



### java.util.concurrent Teardown

### Writing Correct Thread-Safe Code is a Challenge

- The Java Memory Model is our rule book
  - happens-before, ordering, access safety, etc.
  - However, we cannot test if a class adheres to the JMM 100%
- We run our code, and hope it works correctly
  - Some bugs are very hard to detect



### LockSupport Rare Lost unpark()

- Bug 8074773
  - In JDK 7, class loading could consume the unpark()
    - Extremely difficult to diagnose and discover, took a week of CPU time
    - Recommended workaround was to force LockSupport to load early

```
static {
    // Prevent rare disastrous classloading in first call to LockSupport.park.
    // See: https://bugs.openjdk.java.net/browse/JDK-8074773
    Class<?> ensureLoaded = LockSupport.class;
}
```

- Since JDK 9, ConcurrentHashMap ensures LockSupport is loaded



### So Why Study the java.util.concurrent Classes?

- Brian Goetz, JCiP:
  - If you need to implement a state-dependent class the best strategy is usually to build upon an existing library class such as Semaphore, BlockingQueue, or CountDownLatch.
- By studying java.util.concurrent in detail, we learn
  - What is available
  - How to write robust, thread-safe classes



#### Good vs Bad Code

- We all make mistakes
  - In German, we say: "Vertrauen ist gut, Kontrolle ist besser!"
  - Test Driven Development
    - But very difficult to do with multi-threaded code
- Better to rely on well-known synchronizers
  - And then, use those that are most commonly used
    - Favour ConcurrentHashMap over ConcurrentSkipListMap
    - Favour LinkedBlockingQueue over LinkedBlockingDeque



### Contributing Bug Reports

- Anybody can report a Java bug: https://bugreport.java.com
  - I've reported quite a few javaspecialists.eu/about/jdk-contributions/
  - Most of these were in little used classes
    - 1 in LinkedTransferQueue
    - 1 in ThreadLocalRandom
    - 1 in ConcurrentSkipListMap
    - 1 in ArrayBlockingQueue
    - 5 in LinkedBlockingDeque
  - The less used a class is, the higher the chance of bugs



### Eat Your Own Dogfood Collections

- How many new instances of each in the JDK
  - 213: ConcurrentHashMap
  - 11-24: CopyOnWriteArrayList, ConcurrentLinkedQueue,
     ConcurrentLinkedDeque, FutureTask, LinkedBlockingQueue
  - 2-6: CountDownLatch, ArrayBlockingQueue, SynchronousQueue,
     ConcurrentSkipListSet
  - 1: ConcurrentSkipListMap, LinkedBlockingDeque, LinkedTransferQueue, Semaphore
  - 0: CopyOnWriteArraySet, CyclicBarrier, Exchanger, Phaser,
     PriorityBlockingQueue

    JavaSpecialists.eu

### Let's Say That Again

- Use extremely common thread-safe classes
  - ConcurrentHashMap
  - LinkedBlockingQueue
  - ConcurrentLinkedQueue
- All the bugs I found were in classes that are not used a lot







### tinyurl.com/jprime-25



The Hidden Art of Thread-Safe Programming: Exploring java.util.concurrent



### Lessons from Striped64

### LongAdder vs AtomicLong

- Let's do a quick comparison of incrementing 100m times
  - AtomicLong vs LongAdder (Striped64)



#### Demo

Magic? Let's look at how LongAdder / Striped64 works



### Takeaways

Best way to deal with contention is to not have any



The Hidden Art of Thread-Safe Programming: Exploring java.util.concurrent



### StartingGun Synchronizer

### StartingGun Synchronizer

- Let's say we have a service that takes time to be started
  - Any other part of the system that depends on it should wait
    - But we do not want to deal with InterruptedException
  - Once all the data is set up, we call ready(), awaking waiting threads

```
public interface StartingGun {
    void awaitUninterruptibly();
    void ready();
}
```



### Using synchronized and wait()/notifyAll()

```
public class StartingGunMonitor implements StartingGun {
    private boolean ready = false;
    public synchronized void awaitUninterruptibly() {
        boolean interrupted = Thread.interrupted();
        while (!ready) {
            try {
                wait(); // not fully compatible with older Loom versions
            } catch (InterruptedException e) {
                interrupted = true;
        if (interrupted) Thread.currentThread().interrupt();
    public synchronized void ready() { ready = true; notifyAll(); }
                                                             Java Specialists.eu
```

### Basing StartingGun on CountDownLatch

```
public class StartingGunCountDownLatch implements StartingGun {
    private final CountDownLatch latch = new CountDownLatch(1);
    public void awaitUninterruptibly() {
        var interrupted = Thread.interrupted();
        while (true) {
            try {
                latch.await();
                break;
            } catch (InterruptedException e) {
                interrupted = true;
        if (interrupted) Thread.currentThread().interrupt();
    public void ready() { latch.countDown(); }
                                                             Java Specialists.eu
```

### Issues With These Approaches

- Synchronized wait() not fully compatible with virtual threads
  - Fixed in Java 24
- Both times, interrupt would cause InterruptedException
  - We hide it, but we still pay the cost of creating the exception
- A better way is to copy what CountDownLatch does
  - Quick demo



The Hidden Art of Thread-Safe Programming: Exploring java.util.concurrent



### Lock Splitting: LinkedBlockingQueue

### LinkedBlockingQueue Design

- Single lock would cause put()/take() contention
- Has separate putLock and takeLock ReentrantLock
  - We can put() and take() from a single queue at the same time
  - Has higher throughput for the SPSC case
    - And surprises for the SPMC case
  - Subtleties regarding visibility due to two locks
    - Use AtomicInteger count as a volatile synchronizer
- Demo LockSplittingDemo



The Hidden Art of Thread-Safe Programming: Exploring java.util.concurrent



## Weakly Consistent Iterators – ArrayBlockingQueue

### ArrayBlockingQueue Circular Array Queue

- Weakly consistent iteration
  - ArrayDeque would cause a ConcurrentModificationException

```
var queue = new ArrayBlockingQueue<Integer>(10);
Collections.addAll(queue, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5);
var iterator = queue.iterator();
for (int i = 0; i < 3; i++) System.out.println(iterator.next()); // 1, 2, 3
Collections.addAll(queue, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10);
iterator.forEachRemaining(System.out::println); // 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10</pre>
```

- However, what if we circle completely around the array?
  - ArrayBlockingQueue has to notify its current iterators
    - But how?
- Demo WeaklyConsistentViaWeakReferences





## Double-Checked-Locking – CopyOnWriteArrayList

### CopyOnWriteArrayList DCL

In several places, checks before locking

```
public boolean remove(Object o) {
    Object[] snapshot = getArray();
    int index = indexOfRange(o, snapshot, 0, snapshot.length);
    return index >= 0 && remove(o, snapshot, index);
}
// also addIfAbsent(E e),
```

- However, what if we circle completely around the array?
  - ArrayBlockingQueue has to notify its current iterators
    - But how?
- Demo DCLOnSteroidsCOWDemo







### The Java Specialists' Newsletter

- Make sure to subscribe
  - www.javaspecialists.eu/archive/subscribe/
- Readers in 150+ countries
- Over 24 years of newsletters on advanced Java
  - All previous newsletters available on www.javaspecialists.eu
  - Courses, additional training, etc.



### Don't forget ...

- Get our Data Structures in Java Course here
  - tinyurl.com/jprime-25
  - Coupon expires Wednesday at 18:00
    - You have life-time access to course
- For those watching the recording
  - Sign up to The Java Specialists' Newsletter
    - www.javaspecialists.eu
    - Reply to the welcome mail that you would like this course

